

Appendix E: Public Comments

- Website and Email Comments (www.vision54-56.com)
- Comments provided during Task Force Meetings – verbally and in writing – have been included as part of Appendix F

Website and Email Comments

Date: 12/27/2017 23:06:50

Name: William Ecton

Email: ectonbng@aol.com

Comment:

I see the best alternative as alternative 4. The least practical as the overhead from Bruce B. Downs to 19. From traffic flow to cost of project 4 is the most desirable. We do not need a 175 as exists in St. Pete that runs for 20+ miles.

Date: 8/25/2017 13:09:47

Name: Robert Dicaire

Email: r.dicaire@verizon.net

Comment:

I attended the 8/24/17 task force meeting. I now better understand the scope of the decision that needs to be made. This is an important decision that should be made ASAP. After driving from Land O'Lakes to New Port Richey this morning the first priority should be to obtain funding for the optical cable installation needed for the entire length of the 54/56 corridor to coordinate traffic lights and support traffic cameras.

Date: 4/3/2017 7:40:52

Name: Ken Dabbs

Email: kenadabbs@gmail.com

Comment: WE do NOT need more lanes! We need TIMED traffic lights. This traffic light MIS-TIMING has been going on since the last widening. WE got more lanes and it immediately began taking longer to get from US19 to Bruce B. Downs. GET RID OF SCAT. That system is ridiculous on both US19 and 54/56!
